

To: Prabhu, Chitra[cprabhu@louisberger.com]; Schmidt, Mark[schmidt.mark@epa.gov]; Jim Quadrini[jquadrini@anchorqea.com]
Cc: Weissbard, Ron[RWeissbard@dep.nyc.gov]; Ed Leonard (leonardel@cdmsmith.com)[leonardel@cdmsmith.com]; Mayo, Joseph (MayoJJ@cdmsmith.com)[MayoJJ@cdmsmith.com]; Tom Schadt[tschadt@anchorqea.com]; Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephannie@epa.gov]
From: Kwan, Caroline
Sent: Thur 2/16/2017 11:50:20 AM
Subject: RE: Data Request for RI Review

Hi Jim:

EPA is in agreement that the requested information should be provided to NYC and copy provided to EPA. Please let us when this information can be transferred.

Thank you for assistance .

Caroline

Caroline Kwan

Project Manager

Special Projects Branch

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

290 Broadway, 20th Floor

New York, NY 10007-1866

(212) 637-4275

kwan.caroline@epa.gov

From: Prabhu, Chitra [mailto:cprabhu@louisberger.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 1:41 PM
To: Kwan, Caroline <kwan.caroline@epa.gov>; Schmidt, Mark <schmidt.mark@epa.gov>
Cc: Weissbard, Ron <RWeissbard@dep.nyc.gov>; Ed Leonard (leonardel@cdmsmith.com) <leonardel@cdmsmith.com>; Mayo, Joseph (MayoJJ@cdmsmith.com) <MayoJJ@cdmsmith.com>; Jim Quadrini <jquadrini@anchorqea.com>; Tom Schadt <tschadt@anchorqea.com>; Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov>
Subject: Data Request for RI Review

Caroline and Mark,

We need the following data from the NCG to complete review of the RI.

Point Source Model:

1. Complete input files used by the NCG with the 2015 version of the point source model, (i.e., the "IWC" files that NCG used). The NCG provided only selected (i.e., rainfall, tidal elevations, and evaporation rates) rather than complete model inputs.
2. Complete input files used by the NCG with the 2013 version of the point source model, (i.e., the "IWC" files that NCG used) for sensitivity testing.
3. Method the NCG used to estimate CSO event frequency (appears to deviate from DEC accepted method used by City)
4. Method the NCG used to estimate CSO event duration (appears to deviate from DEC accepted method used by City)

Groundwater: The groundwater low-flow sample collection logs which include parameters measured in the field and responses of the temporary wells to low-flow purging. These data are of importance because according the RI text, 10 of 17 mid-depth, in the sediment, temporary wells went dry during low-flow purging and an additional 5 had had drawdowns of greater than 10 feet. The information on which wells went dry and had excessive drawdown is not provided in RI documents. This information was specifically requested during the groundwater modeling meetings and NCG assured that it would be included with the RI. However, the current RI does not include this data.

Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks,

Chitra

Chitra Prabhu, P.E.

Senior Environmental Engineer

Hazardous Waste & Contaminated Sediment Site Remediation

Louis Berger

565 Taxter Road, Suite 510, Elmsford, NY 10523

Ph: (914) 798-3718

Fax: (914) 592-1734

This message, including any attachments hereto, may contain privileged and/or confidential information and is intended solely for the attention and use of the intended addressee(s). If you are not the intended addressee, you may neither use, copy, nor deliver to anyone this message or any of its attachments. In such case, you should immediately destroy this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply mail. Unless made by a person with actual authority conferred by The Louis Berger Group, Inc., (LBG) the information and statements herein do not constitute a binding commitment or warranty by LBG. LBG assumes no responsibility for any misperceptions, errors or misunderstandings. You are urged to verify any information that is confusing and report any errors/concerns to us in writing.